

No. 9(1)-82-6Lab./7354.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Marwah Industries Pvt. Ltd., 14/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 397 and 399 of 1981

Between}

SARVSHRI MAHESH AND RAM SARUP, WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF  
M/S. MARWAH INDUSTRIES, PVT. LTD., 14/3, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present:

Shri H. P. Singh, for the workman.

Shri S. L. Gupta, for the management.

#### AWARD

These references Nos. 397 and 399 of 1981 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order Nos. ID/FD/267-81/61681, and 266-81/61695, dated 28th December, 1981 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 for adjudication of the disputes existing between Sarvshri Mahesh and Ram Sarup, workmen and the management of M/s Marwah Industries, Pvt. Ltd., 14/3 Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the references were :—

Whether the termination of services of Sarvshri Mahesh and Ram Sarup were justified and order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?

After receiving these references, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 12th March, 1982. After that I ordered for consolidation of these cases on the request of the parties. I further ordered that the evidence shall be recorded in Reference No. 397 of 1981 of Shri Mahesh. On 5th May, 1982 when the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. Shri K. D. Marwah, partner of the respondent Company made a statement in this Court that the workmen had settled their cases with the management mutually and also received a sum of Rs. 639.80 & Rs. 402-15 respectively. He also filed the photo copies of receipt vouchers Ex. M-1 to M-3 and M-4 to M-6. He further stated that there is no dispute between the parties. On the other the representative of the workmen made a statement that the workmen are not coming inspite of sending the information about their cases. So does not want to pursue their cases and withdraw to same.

In the light of the above statement of the parties, I hold that the workmen had settled their cases with the management mutually and also received their full and final accounts. So there is no dispute between the parties. No orders as to costs. I give my award accordingly.

Dated the 1st July, 1982.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement. No. 1564, dated the 14th July, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the request that the receipt of the above said award my please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.